



ERASMUS+ PROJECT

Re@WBC

Enhancement of HE research potential
contributing to further growth of the WB region



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

Annex H to QCM Manual – Event report template

Erasmus + Project No 561586-EPP-1-2015-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

**Enhancement of HE Research Potential Contributing to Further
Growth of the WB Region
Re@WBC**

Author:	Dr Ivan Macuzic, Marko Djapan, Dragana Cvetkovic Ilic
Event Title:	Meeting within activity 2.8 Ethical committee procedures improved Enhancing of ethical committees (EC) through legislative improvements and trainings
Event Date:	10-11 November 2016
Event Venue:	Faculty of Engineering, University of Kragujevac
Type of event: (National, international, press conference, promotional event etc.)	International event
Short description:	
<p>On 10th November 2016, the project coordinator, Prof. Dr. Dragana Cvetković Ilić presented the project activities to the training participants and Prof. Dr. Ivan Macuzic contact point of the University of Kragujevac, gave an useful information about two-day event and the role of University of Kragujevac in the Re@WBC project.</p> <p>After introductory presentation, Dr. Kieran Fenby-Hulse from University of Coventry trained the participants on the topic Enhancing Research Ethics Committees, including very interesting workshop (working in groups) and engaging participants to take part in the training.</p> <p>On 10th November 2016, project coordinator-University of Nis held coordination meeting with representatives of University of Kragujevac and University of Vlora, concerning the realization of the activities from the Work packages 3.</p> <p>On 11th November 2016, Ms Isabelle Halleux from the University of Liege share her experience and trained the participants on two topics: 1) Dealing with integrity and ethics and 2) How to train researchers to good practices in research.</p>	



Organiser(s):	University of Kragujevac
Agenda:	Link to the agenda http://rewbc.ni.ac.rs/documents/
Total number of participants:	19 participants
Links to further information:	N/A

EVENT ORGANISATION DETAILS

Invitation was sent off to participants on:	01.06.2016
Information Material was sent off to participants on:	Welcome email sent 17 June 2016
Date of Initial Participant List Compilation:	30 April 2016
Date of Final Participant List Compilation:	2 June 2016
Total Number of Participants Invited	26
Date of Agenda Finalisation:	10 June 2016

PROBLEMS ENCOUNTERED DURING THE EVENT PREPARATION PHASE

(To be filled by organisers)

- to finalize the agenda and programme with respect to non-working day in Serbia (11 November 2016)
- completion of attendance list due to delay in delivering participants

EVENT EVALUATION BY PARTICIPANTS *in percentage*

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
The information I got will be of immediate use to me.	25%	75%			
This event covered to a very high extent the topics I have expected.	56%	31%	13%		
I enjoyed the cooperation and interaction with the other participants.	63%	25%	13%		
The materials distributed are useful and informative.	44%	31%	13%	13%	
The discussions were relevant for the participants.	44%	50%	%		
The working methods were suitable for the topics and for the	44%	56%			



participants.					
The overall organisation was professional.	69%	31%			
The time management was always to my fullest satisfaction.	56%	44%			
The style and level of communication between organisers and participants was professional.	69%	31%			
I would recommend this kind of event to my colleagues.	63%	38%			

General information about participants:

- a) Sex: 6 Female; 10 Male
- b) Country: 5 Albania; 2 Bosnia and Herzegovina; 9 Serbia;
1 speaker from UK and 1 speaker from Belgium
- d) Have you participated in similar events before? 6 Yes; 10 No

Prior Experience of Similar Events – Overall %	38%
--	-----

Strengths and limitations of the event: please include comments received

Strengths of the event and contributions or activities enjoyed by participants:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Broad education of presenter was of the great value. • It was very interactive and refreshingly useful. I learn a lot about topic which I thought I already know, but it was not the case. • Case study was very enjoyable. Everything about how to provide a representative ethical committee. • Active engagement of the participants. Many examples from practice. • The information provided was comprehensive and useful. The interaction between both speaker and participants was efficient and contributed, but the most importantly complemented, issues related to ethics and academic integrity. • The event was an excellent opportunity to exchange experiences about the topics considered between participants, the conversations with which I particularly enjoyed. The overall atmosphere was extremely friendly. • I appreciated and I enjoyed very much the interaction and collaboration with all the participants. The discussion and the analysis of different case studies. I really enjoyed the experience of two speakers, especially this one of University of Liege. • The presentation I enjoyed most: 1) Who should sit on the ethics committee; 2) Dealing with integrity and ethics. Strengths: organization, topic, speakers. • I enjoyed this event very much. First, it was opportunity to meet colleagues from different Universities and hear their experiences. The second: it was opportunity to hear very good



presentations that have underlined the importance of the problem solving, and application at home institutions.

- The information given in any topic I found very important and was explained professionally by the lecturers. Organization was professionally done. The works in group was a very good way to organize the training.
- The topics presented at this two-day seminar by the invited experts provided enough useful suggestions and directions for the preparation and writing the code of ethics as will as for enhancing of EC – ethical committees through legislative.

Suggestions for the improvement:

- More case studies
- Maybe it should be organized in three days instead of two. In this way we would have more time to discuss about the topics of the meeting.
- I propose sending to participants an event presentation (a few days) before seminar.
- More reading material.
- Some theoretical discussion could have been more clear if instantiated with concrete and practical examples.
- Nothing needed improving in my opinion. Perhaps, if the seminar was spread out through a few days more instead of having all the information condensed in a two days period, it would have learn easier to absorb the material presented.
- To have more speakers on the issue.
- The event could have been improved-it was intensive; solution: to be held in few days more.
- It was intensive training!
- Give us more a good overview on the legal and clinical situations in the countries concerned and prompt reflection. Give us more information about informal consent research in specific situation as well as the conflicts of interests.

Any further comments

- The participants should have been informed previously with the materials that will be object of discussions during the training.
- This meeting enhances our efforts for cooperation of regional bioethical committees. The Republic of Serbia still does not have a special law on genetic testing and research. We need: book of rules (law), of genetic testing and the regulation of biomedical research in genetics.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS *(to be filled by the local partner)*

- The new way of delivering knowledge and making presentation was particularly great. Speakers' experience is more than adequate for this type of training.

Project number: 561586-EPP-1-2015-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

"This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein"



List of participants

Ref. no	Partners acronym	Name of Institution	Participant	Position	E-mail
P1	UNI	University of Nis	Dušica Pavlović	Full professor	pavlovic.dusica@gmail.com
			Dragana Cvetković Ilić	Full professor	rewbcuni@gmail.com
			Vladimir Pavlovic	Associate professor	vlada@pmf.ni.c.rs
			Aleksandar Nastic	Associate professor	anastic@pmf.ni.c.rs
			Ljubica Velimirovic	Full professor	ljubicavelimirovic@yahoo.com
P2	UB	University of Belgrade	dr Vladimir Mikić	Studies and science sector	vladimir.mikic@rect.bg.ac.rs
			Tatjana Subašić Nikolić	Legal Affairs and Human Resources	tatjana.subasic.nikolic@rect.bg.ac.rs
P3	UNS	University of Novi Sad	Marko Škorić	Associate professor at the Faculty of Philosophy	marko_skoric@yahoo.com
			Dejan Pajić	Assistant professor at the Faculty of Philosophy	dpajic@ff.uns.ac.rs
P4	UNIKG	University of Kragujevac	Ivan Macuzic	Full professor	ivanm@kg.ac.rs
			Ksenija Vlaskovic	Legal Department Officer	ksenija@kg.ac.rs
P6	UNSA	University of Sarajevo	Kenan Filipović	Legal expert, University of Sarajevo	kenan.filipovic@unsa.ba
			Saša Madacki	Curriculum Development Associate, UNSA	sasa.madacki@unsa.ba
P7	UES	University of East Sarajevo	Mitar Prussic	Dean of Theological Orthodox School, EC member	mperusic@teol.net
			Darko Djogo	Professor, Technological Faculty, EC member	darkodjogo@gmail.com
P8	UV	University of	Armela Panajoti	Associate	armelap@assenglish.org



ERASMUS+ PROJECT
Re@WBC

Enhancement of HE research potential
contributing to further growth of the WB region



Co-funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union

		Vlora 'Ismail Qemali'		professor	
			Bledar Toska	Associate professor	bledartoska@yahoo.co.uk
			Mariglana Meminaj	PhD, Lecturer	mariglana.meminaj@gmail.com
P9	UTI	University of Tirana	Majlinda Vasjari	Professor, Faculty of Social Sciences	majlinda.vasjari@fshn.edu.al
			Ardiana Kastrati	Associate professor, Faculty of Foreign Languages	ahyso@hotmail.com
P 10	CU	Coventry University	Dr Kieran Fenby-Hulse	Programme Manager, Early and Middle Career Researcher Development	kieran.fenby-hulse@coventry.ac.uk
P 11	UL	University of Liege	Dr. Isabelle Halleux	Head of the Research Administration and member of the ULg's Board for Ethics and Scientific Integrity	Isabelle.Halleux@ulg.ac.be